

## CLAIMS

What is claimed is:

- 5        1.        A data processing system comprising:
- a.    a plurality of data processing system components, the components each responsible for carrying out a subset of data processing system functions;
  - b.    a plurality of function domains, the domains having associated with them subset of the data processing system functions, with a plurality of peer domain level components thus carrying out the data processing functions for a given domain, and the plurality of domains forming a domain hierarchy, at least one component of at least one domain at a given level in the hierarchy providing a failure notification; and
  - c.    a system availability manager comprising:
    - 15        i.    a plurality of Availability Manager (AM) elements, each AM element associated with a corresponding one of the data processing system components, the AM elements thus also arranged in an AM hierarchy that parallels the domain hierarchy, at least one AM element connected to receive failure notification from one or more AM elements associated with the data processing system components associated with a next lower domain level.
- 20
2.        A system as in claim 1 wherein the AM element determines if the component in the next lower domain level from which a failure notification was received can be
- 25        restarted.

3. A system as in claim 2 wherein the AM element additionally determines if the failure-notifying component can be restarted, and if it can be restarted, the AM element causes that component to be restarted, without notifying a higher level AM element in the AM hierarchy.

5

4. A system as in claim 1 wherein the failure notification is caused by termination of processing by the monitored component.

5. A system as in claim 1 wherein the failure notification is caused by an error state in the monitored component.

10

6. A system as in claim 3 wherein if the AM element determines that the failure-notifying component cannot be restarted, the AM element sends a failure notification to a higher level AM component in the AM hierarchy.

15

7. A system as in claim 1 wherein the AM element failure notification is constrained to the next higher level AM element in the hierarchy.

8. A system as in claim 1 wherein the AM element failure notification is constrained to the next higher level AM element in the hierarchy, such that a higher level AM element in the hierarchy will then be given control over determining whether to send further failure notifications up the AM element hierarchy.

20

9. A system as in claim 1 wherein the data processing system components are both hardware and software components.

25

095471.091801  
T08T60.FT.24560

10. A system as in claim 9 wherein the software components include, but are not limited to, operating system software.

11. A system as in claim 9 wherein the software components comprise application  
5 program processes.

12. A system as in claim 1 wherein the failure notification is a component execution termination notice.

10 13. A system as in claim 1 wherein the failure notification is a hang state notice.

14. A system as in claim 1 wherein the failure notification is of a hang state detected by a components peer AM element.

15 15. A system as in claim 14 wherein peer AM elements participate in a heartbeat protocol to detect a component hang state such that during their normal state execution, peer AM elements located at the same level in the AM hierarchy provide a heartbeat signal notification in a determined sequence to at least one of its peer AM elements.

20 16. A system as in claim 15 wherein the heartbeat protocol is carried out for AM elements associated with a one of a card manager (CM) level or a system manager (SM) level in the AM hierarchy.

25 17. A system as in claim 16 wherein at least one of the peer AM elements reports the hang state by ceasing to send update signals to a hardware component.

18. A system as in claim 17 wherein the hardware component is a watchdog timer component.

19. A system as in claim 18 wherein the update signals are time slotted, such that a given AM element is assigned a predetermined slot in which the watchdog timer component expects to receive an update signal.

20. A system as in claim 19 wherein a failure to detect a hang state results in restarting the watchdog timer.

21. A system as in claim 19 wherein the update signal is a data word unique to the reporting AM element.

22. A system as in claim 15 wherein prior to the failure notification, at least one of a given group of data processing system components stores state information in a persistent storage medium.

23. A system as in claim 22 wherein the state information storage is program driven, event driven, periodically driven, or termination triggered.

24. A system as in claim 22 wherein the state information as selected from the group consisting of a machine state, application configuration state, or application data state.

25. A system as in claim 2 wherein the failure notification includes information as to whether the logical state of the component itself indicates the component can be restarted.

26. A system as in claim 2 wherein components are classified in the hierarchy with regard to a potential severity indication of their failure modalities.

27. A system as in claim 15 wherein the heartbeat protocol is not carried out for AM elements associated with data processing components having specific hang detection logic.

28. A system as in claim 19 wherein the heartbeat signal is a read of a unique value by the watchdog timer.

29. A system as in claim 2 wherein the AM element determines whether the associated component itself can be restarted without affecting operation of other data processing system components.

30. A system as in claim 2 wherein at least some of the components of the data processing system are operating system components, the AM element runs an application space as a process under an operating system, and the failure notification is made by signaling the associated AM element through an operating system message.

31. A system as in claim 7 wherein the AM failure notification to the higher level AM component in the AM hierarchy causes the AM elements that are peers of the failure-notifying AM element to be terminated.

32. A data processing system comprising:

- a. a plurality of data processing system components, the components each responsible for carrying out a subset of data processing system functions;

- b. a plurality of function domains, the domains having associated with them subset of the data processing system functions, with a plurality of peer domain level components thus carrying out the data processing functions for a given domain, and the plurality of domains forming a domain hierarchy, at least one component of at least one domain at a given level in the hierarchy being restartable; and

- c. a system availability manager comprising:

a plurality of Availability Manager (AM) elements, each AM element associated with a corresponding one of the data processing system components, the AM elements thus also arranged in an AM hierarchy that parallels the domain hierarchy, at least one AM element connected to restart one or more AM elements associated with the data processing system components associated with a next lower domain level.

33. A system as in claim 32 wherein the AM element may access operating system component state information regarding whether an operating system underlying the terminating component considers that the component can be restarted.

34. A system as in claim 33 wherein the termination notice itself contains the operating system component state information.

35. A system as in claim 34 wherein the AM element uses the operating system component state information to determine whether the component can be restarted.

36. A system as in claim 32 wherein upon receiving a termination notice, the AM element may access component originated state information regarding whether according to the components own logic, the component can be restarted.

5 37. A system as in claim 36 wherein the termination notice itself contains the component originated state information.

38. A system as in claim 37 wherein the AM element may also access operating system component state information regarding whether an operating system underlying the terminating component considers that the component can be restarted.

10 39. A system as in claim 38 wherein the AM element first uses the operating system component state information to determine whether the component can be restarted, and such indication is positive, then the AM element uses the component originated state information.

15 40. A system as in claim 32 wherein the data processing system component domains are selected from a group consisting of system, card, processor, and process.

20 41. A system as in claim 40 wherein the data processing system component domains also comprise application process threads.

42. A system as in claim 41 wherein the thread domain does not have associated AM elements.

43. A system as in claim 32 wherein the data processing system components maintain internal state information in persistent storage to permit warm restart processing.

5 44. A system as in claim 43 wherein the internal state information is selected from the group consisting of processor execution state, configuration state, and application data state.

10 45. A system as in claim 44 wherein the system is deployed as a networking device, and the internal state information is selected from the groups consisting of routing table, forwarding table, switching table, or other networking configuration data.

15 46. A system as in claim 43 wherein an operating system component reclaims resources upon termination of a process element.

47. A system as in claim 43 wherein an operating system component maintains state information regarding resources in use by executing processes.

20 48. A system as in claim 47 wherein the operating system derives information regarding whether a process can be restarted by examining the state information regarding resources in use.

25 49. A system as in claim 43 wherein if component failure causes inconsistency in internal operating system state, the associated processes are considered to be non-restartable.

09941.09100  
FOBT60"TT24560



50. A system as in claim 43 wherein a component will be subjected to a warm or cold restart process depending upon whether complete stored state information is available and valid.

5 51. A system as in claim 32 wherein the AM element hierarchy includes a system manager root level, process manager child level, and card manager parent level in the AM element hierarchy.

10 52. A system as in claim 32 wherein the AM element hierarchy includes a process manager root level, thread child level, and system manager parent level in the AM element hierarchy.

15 53. A system as in claim 32 wherein the AM element hierarchy includes a card manager root level, system manager child level, and watchdog timer parent level in the AM element hierarchy.

54. A data processing system comprising:

- 20 a. a plurality of data processing system components, the components comprising system cards, processors, and software processes that execute on the processors, the components thus forming a function domain hierarchy;
- b. a plurality of Availability Manager (AM) elements, each AM element associated with at least one of the data processing system components, the AM elements also arranged in a hierarchy that parallels the domain hierarchy such that

25 a card manager (CM) element in the AM hierarchy is associated with a system card component;

a system manager (SM) element in the AM hierarchy is associated with a processor component; and

a process manager (PM) in the AM hierarchy is associated with a software process component;

with at least one of the AM elements is connected to restart components associated with a next lower domain level.

55. A system as in claim 54 wherein one or more of the AM elements participate in an identity management protocol.

56. A system as in claim 55 wherein card manager (CM) element of the AM hierarchy performs an identity management protocol for system card components.

57. A system as in claim 56 wherein the identity management protocol identifies which system card is to be considered a master.

58. A system as in claim 54 wherein if a given root element, R, in the AM hierarchy has at least one child element, C, and at least one parent element, P, the root element R is responsible for determining a failure notification only for its child elements C, and reporting the fact of a failed child AM element C to the parent element P if the child element C cannot be restarted.

59. A system as in claim 58 wherein a decision regarding whether a given child element C can be restarted is made from information provided by the child C with the failure notification.

60. A system as in claim 58 wherein a decision regarding whether a given child element C can be restarted is made from information provided by peer AM elements to the root element R.

5 61. A system as in claim 58 wherein a sub-group, X, of child element C are considered to have restart dependency, and upon failure notification of one element C in the group X, restarting all other elements C that are a member of the group X.

10 62. A system as in claim 61 wherein the elements C that are not members of the group X are not restarted.

63. A system as in claim 61 wherein the fact of the failed element C is not reported to the parent element P if all members of the group X can be restarted.

15 64. A system as in claim 54 wherein peer AM elements detect a hung component by sending the heartbeat signal at a determined time period interval.

65. A system as in claim 64 wherein the heartbeat time period is less than a time-out duration associated with a watchdog timer that is monitoring at least one AM element.

20 66. A system as in claim 54 wherein a hardware component detects an event that all AM elements at the same level hang.

25 67. A system as in claim 64 wherein a heartbeat timeout threshold is selected so that if at least one AM element among a set of peer AM elements is hung, the peer AM

element that detected the hang state will detect and record the hung state before expiration of a watchdog timer.

68. A system as in claim 64 wherein the heartbeat signals coupled from an AM  
5 element to a heartbeat register associated with the watchdog timer are time slotted.

69. A system as in claim 64 wherein the AM element and its peers are located at a  
10 system manager (SM) level in the AM hierarchy associated with monitoring processor components.

70. A system as in claim 1 wherein a card manager (CM) level in the AM hierarchy  
15 is associated with a system card component, and a system manager (SM) level in the AM hierarchy is associated with a processor component, and wherein a failure notification by a card manager (CM) element is provided to a watchdog timer element.

71. A system as in claim 70 wherein the watchdog timer is connected to initiate a  
reset for selected components of the data processing system.

72. A system as in claim 72 wherein a reset initiated by the watchdog timer starts an  
20 identity management process in one of the components.

73. A system as in claim 72 wherein the identity management process executes in  
one of the AM elements.

74. A system as in claim 72 wherein the data processing system components  
25 comprise system cards, and the identity management process is executed to identify a master system card.

75. A system as in claim 74 wherein the identity management process identifies the master system card without using a prior central system resource set.

5 76. A system as in claim 75 wherein the identity management process uses information concerning physical location identification of the system cards, system card present information, and a register write protocol that ensures singular assertion of master state for a given system card.

10 77. A system as in claim 76 wherein the identity manager process ensures singular assertion of the master state by the process of

- a. setting a temporary master state;
  - b. waiting a predetermined period of time;
  - c. setting a final master state only if no other system card has asserted
- 15 temporary or master state.

78. A method for determining which of a plurality of data processing system components are to assert a master state, and which are to assert a non-master state after a system reset with no retention of prior state information, the process comprising a join protocol that is executed on each system component, the join protocol comprising the

20 steps of:

- a. entering an initialization state;
  - b. determining a physical position for the component with respect to other components in the system;
  - c. reading state information as to master state assertions by other
- 25 components;

- d. temporarily initializing a local master state register to the asserted state, if no other component has asserted the master state;
- e. waiting a predetermined pause period;
- f. reading other components master state assertions;
- 5 g. committing to assume the master state for further execution should no other component have asserted the master state during the waiting period.

10 79. A method as in claim 78 wherein the predetermined physical position is with respect to a card slot location in a backplane.

15 80. A method as in claim 78 wherein the pause period is greater than the longest expected initialization process for components in the system to read a master state register, determine a state that it should assume, and assume the determined state.

81. A method as in claim 78 wherein the step of committing to assume the master state is as a Physical Default Master state.

20 82. A method as in claim 81 wherein the step of committing to assume the master state additionally comprises the step of:

transitioning to a Logical Default Master if the read of the master state assertions by the other components indicates that no other component has asserted the master state.

25 83. A method as in claim 81 wherein while in the Logical Default Master mode, additionally comprising the steps of:

in the commit step, determining if any other component has a higher priority location with a master state asserted;

waiting a secondary pause period; and  
if so, then de-asserting the master state and committing to a non-master state.

84. A method as in claim 78 additionally comprising the step of:  
5       executing a Depart State Machine upon receipt of a reset command from another  
data processing system component.

85. A method as in claim 78 wherein the Depart State Machine comprises the step  
of:  
10       determining if a departed component was previously the master; and  
if so, executing the join state machine.

86. A method as in claim 84 wherein the reset command is received from a  
watchdog timer.  
15

87. A method as in claim 84 wherein the reset command is invoked by a restart  
decision made by an AM element.

88. A method as in claim 86 wherein a watchdog timer issues the reset command  
upon termination notification from an Availability Manager (AM) process.  
20

89. A method as in claim 88 wherein the AM process is a distributed hierarchy of  
AM processes having loosely coupled AM process elements that monitor corresponding  
data processing system components.  
25

90. A method as in claim 89 wherein the AM element hierarchy includes a card manager root level, system manager child level, and watchdog timer parent level in the AM element hierarchy.

5 91. A method as in claim 89 wherein the AM element hierarchy includes inter-card, intra-card, and processor levels.

92. A method as in claim 89 wherein the data processing system components  
comprise system cards, processors, and software processes associated with inter-card,  
10 intra-card, and processor levels in the AM hierarchy, respectively, and a card manager  
(CM) level in the AM hierarchy is associated with a system card component, a system  
manager (SM) level in the AM hierarchy is associated with a processor component, a  
system manager (SM) level in the AM hierarchy is associated with an operating system  
software component, and a process manager (PM) level in the AM hierarchy is  
15 associated with an executing application process software component.

93. A method as in claim 89 wherein one or more of the AM elements participate in an identity management protocol.

20 94. A hierarchical, distributed, loosely coupled Availability Management (AM) method for recovering from failure of execution of a component of a data processing system, the process comprising the steps of:

- a. executing a plurality of AM element processes in a multi-tasking  
environment, the AM element processes arranged in a hierarchy, with the  
25 hierarchy of the AM elements corresponding to a failure modality hierarchy  
of the data processing system components;



- b. within a given AM element process, receiving a termination notice from one of the data processing system components;
- c. if the data processing system component can be restarted by the AM element process, then restarting the component;
- 5 d. if the data processing system component cannot be restarted by the AM element process, providing a termination notice to a higher level AM element process; and
- e. terminating execution of the AM element process.

10 95. A method as in claim 94 wherein the data processing components comprise at least two or more system cards, and at least two AM element processes are associated with monitoring the status of at least two of the system cards, the process additionally comprising the steps of, in a higher level AM element process :

- 15 f. if one of the system cards provides a termination indication to its associated AM process, determining if the system card can be restarted;
- g. if the system card can be restarted, then asserting a system card restart command;
- h. if the system card cannot be restarted by the AM process, asserting a system reset signal; and
- 20 i. thereby constraining restarts of the entire system only to instances where lower level component parts cannot be restarted.

96. A method as in claim 95 additionally comprising:  
failing over to a second system card if in step (h) the system card can be  
25 restarted.

97. a method as in claim 95 wherein an identity management protocol is executed as part of restarting a higher level component.

98. A method as in claim 95 wherein peer AM elements participate in a heartbeat  
s protocol to detect a component hang state in other peered AM elements.

99. A method as in claim 98 wherein the heartbeat protocol is providing a heartbeat  
signal in a determined sequence to peer AM elements.